COMPLAINT OF POSSIBLE PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE OTHER PROHIBITED ACTIVITY

Spirit.

IMPORTANT

Before filling out this Office of Special Counsel (OSC) form, please read the following information about: (1) the required complaint format; (2) the scope of OSC's jurisdiction; and (3) certain OSC policies. OSC cannot investigate a complaint if it lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter. Further, filing a complaint with OSC will not extend any time limits that may exist under any other complaint procedures that may be available. It is important, therefore, that you consider whether OSC may lack jurisdiction over your complaint.

If you plan to file a complaint alleging <u>reprisal for whistleblowing,</u> important information about the elements required by law to establish such a violation is provided in Part 2 of this form (at page 4).

INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A COMPLAINT WITH OSC

Required Complaint Form. Complaints alleging a prohibited personnel practice, or a prohibited activity other than a Hatch Act violation, must be submitted on this form. OSC will not process complaints (except a complaint alleging only a Hatch Act violation) that are not submitted on this form. OSC will return the material received, with a blank complaint form to complete and return to OSC. The complaint will be considered to be filled on the date on which OSC receives the completed form. 5 C.F.R. § 1800.1, as amended.

No OSC Jurisdiction. OSC has no jurisdiction over complaints filed by employees of -

- the Central Intelligence Agency, Defense Intelligence Agency, National Security Agency, or other intelligence agency excluded from coverage by the President;
- the armed forces of the United States (i.e., uniformed military employees);
- · the General Accounting Office;
- · the Postal Rate Commission; and
- , the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Limited OSC Jurisdiction. OSC has jurisdiction over certain types of complaints filed by employees of some agencies, as follows –

- * Federal Aviation Administration employees alleging reprisal for whistleblowing;
- employees of government corporations listed at 31 U.S.C. § 9101 alleging reprisal for whistleblowing; U.S.
- · Postal Service employees alleging nepotism; and
- Transportation Security Administration (TSA) employees alleging reprisal for whistleblowing: TSA non-screener employees may file complaints alleging retaliation for protected whistleblowing under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8). OSC will process these complaints under its regular procedures, including filing petitions with the Merit Systems Protection Board, if warranted, TSA security screeners may also file complaints alleging retaliation for protected whistleblowing under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8) pursuant to a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between OSC and TSA executed on May 28, 2002. The MOU and TSA Directive HRM Letter No. 1800-01 provide OSC with authority to investigate whistleblower retaliation complaints from screeners and recommend that TSA take corrective and/or disciplinary action when warranted. Additional information on OSC procedures for reviewing security screener whistleblower complaints under the MOU is available at http://www.osc.gov/tsa-info.htm.

(over)

INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A COMPLAINT WITH OSC (cont'd)

Election of Remedies for Employees Covered By a Collective Bargaining Agreement. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 7121(g), if you are covered by a collective bargaining agreement, you must choose one of three possible avenues to pursue your prohibited personnel practice complaint: (a) a complaint to OSC; (b) an appeal to the Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB) (if the action is appealable under law or regulation), or (c) a grievance under the collective bargaining agreement. If you have already filed an appeal about your prohibited personnel practice allegations with the MSPB, or a grievance about those allegations under the collective bargaining agreement, OSC lacks jurisdiction over your complaint and cannot investigate it.

Deferral of Certain Complaints Involving Discrimination. Although OSC is authorized to investigate discrimination based upon race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, or handicapping condition, as well as reprisal for filing an EEO complaint, OSC generally defers such allegations to agency procedures established under regulations issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). 5 C.F.R. § 1810.1. If you wish to report allegations of discrimination based upon race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, or handicapping condition, or reprisal for filing an EEO complaint, you should contact your agency's EEO office immediately. There are specific time limits for filing such complaints. Filing a complaint with OSC will not relieve you of the obligation to file a complaint with the agency's EEO office within the time prescribed by EEOC regulations (at 29 C.F.R. Part 1614).

<u>Note:</u> This deferral policy does not apply to discrimination claims outside the jurisdiction of the EEOC, such as complaints alleging discrimination based upon marital status or political affiliation.

Complaints Involving Veterans Rights. By law, complaints alleging denial of veterans' preference requirements must be filled with the Veterans Employment and Training Service (VETS) at the Department of Labor. 38 U.S.C. § 4301, et seq., and 5 U.S.C. § 3330a(a). Certain allegations of discrimination based on the past, current, or future performance of military service (e.g., discrimination based on veteran or reservist status) may be filed with OSC. Thus, you are encouraged to contact OSC's Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act (USERRA) Unit by e-mail at userra@osc.gov or by telephone at 202-254-3600.

SEND COMPLETED COMPLAINT FORMS TO OSC -

By Mail:

Complaints Examining Unit

Office of Special Counsel 1730 M Street, N.W. (Suite 218)

Washington, DC 20036-4505

By Fax:

(202)254-3711

Electronically:

WWW,OSC,GOV (AT "FILE COMPLAINTS ONLINE")

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS SENT IN WRITING TO OSC NOW, OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.

IF YOU ARE FILING AN ALLEGATION OF REPRISAL FOR WHISTLEBLOWING.
PLEASE SEE PART 2 OF THE COMPLAINT FROM, AT PAGES 4-5, FOR OTHER RECORDICEPING CONSIDERATIONS.

COMPLAINT OF POSSIBLE PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE OR OTHER PROHIBITED ACTIVITY

(Please print legibly or type and complete all pertinent items. Enter "N/A" (Not Applicable) or "Unkπown" where appropriate. (If more space is needed, use Continuation Sheet at page 12.)

FAX: L. IXO	AIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES PROFI		
Name of person seeking OS Joel Clement	GC action ("Complainant"); Mr. (♠) Ms	.(()) Mrs.(()) Mlss(())	
For USERRA complaints on (needed to determine jurisdi	ly - please provide the last digit only of your s ction under § 204(o)(2) of Public Law No. 10	Social Security Number (SSN): 3-454.)	
2. Position, title, series, and gr	ade: Senior Program Advisor, ES-	0301	
3. Agency name: Departm	ent of the Interior, Office of Natura	l Resources Revenue	
4. Agency Address: 1849 C	St., NW, Washington, DC 20240		
5. Home or mailing address:			
6. Contact information:Telephol	ne number(s): ()	(Hon	ne)
		(Office	ce) Ext.
•	x number: ()		
7. If you are filing this complain	nt as a legal or other representative of the C	omplainant, please supply the folio	wing information:
Name and title o	f filer: Mr.(() Ms.((()) Mrs.(()) Miss(())	
****	Atkinson Wilkenfeld, Herendeer		
Address: 173	1 Connecticut Ave., NW, Third Flo	or, Washington, DC 2000	9
Telephone numb	per(s): (202) 765-2253	(Home)	
	()	(Office) Ext.	
Fax nt	imber: (202) 600-2792		
	address: katherine@wilkenfeldlaw.		
	ant, If you are filing as a representative) cov		eement? (Check one.)
)Yes (①) No	(() I don'tknow	
9. How did you first become a	ware that you could file a complaint with OS	C?	
(()) OSC Web site	(O) OSC Speaker	(() OSC Brochure	(O) OSC Poster
(() NewsStory (()) Other (please describ	(() AgencyPersonnel Office	(()) Union	(()) Co-worker
	Date (approximate):		

COMPLAINT OF POSSIBLE PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE OR OTHER PROHIBITED ACTIVITY Page 2 of 12

o. V	What is the employment status of the person affected by the suspected prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity? (Check all applicable items - more than one Item may apply.)						
а	١,	() A	pplicant for Federal employment			
b	١.	() C	ompetitive Service			
			1) temporary appointment	1) career or career-conditional ap	polntment
			ì) term appointment	1) probationary employee	.
			,	, , ,	1) probationary employee	
Ç),	() E	xcepted Service	,) Decision dec	
			() Schedule A	() Postal Service	
			() Schedule B	() TennesseeValley Authority) VA Dept. of Medicine and Su	rgen/
			() Schedule C	,) Veterans Readjustment Act (V	
			() National Guard Technician	() Other (Specify)	,
			() Non-appropriated Fund	1) Otto (oposity)	
c	١.	()	/) S	enforExecutive Service (SES), Supergrade,	or l	Executive Level	
		•		/) career SES	() Executive Level V or above (care	
			ì) noncareer SES	Ĺ) Executive Level V or above (none	career)
			ì) career GS-16, 17, or 18	() Presidentialappointee (Senate-c	onfirmed)
			() noncareer GS-16, 17, or 18			
E) .	() 0	ther			
	-	`	, -) civilservice annultant	,) militaryofficer or enlisted person	
			- () formerolvil service employee	() contract employee	
			1) competitive service	ì) other(specify):	and the state of t
			- 7) excepted service	ì) unknown	
1		vvn ap¢ (√	oly.)	ner action(s), if any, have you taken to appea None, or not applicable	ալ չ	preve, or robort this matter and any	Date:
		()	Appeal filed with Merit Systems Protection E	3oai	rd (MSPB)	Dale:
() Pelition for reconsideration of initial decision filed with MSPB Initial Decision No. () USERRA claim filed with VETS (Department of Labor) Date:		Date:					
		Date:					
	() Grievance filed under agency grievance procedure		Date:				
		ì)	Grievance filed under negotiated grievance	Date:		
		()	Matter heard by arbitrator under grievance procedure			Date:
		()	Matter is pending in arbitration			Date:
		()	Discrimination complaint filed with agency			Date:
		()	Agency or Administrative Judge (AJ) decision			Data
				to Equal Employment Opportunity			Date:
		()	Appeal filed with Office of Personnel Manag	jem	ent	Date:
		()	Unfair labor practice (ULP) complaint filed v	YIGI	Ledelat Fanot Vergions various	Date:
		1	}	Lawsult filed in Federal Court			Dale:
		•	,	Court name:			
		()	Reported matter to agency inspector General	ral		Date:
		()	Reported matter to member of Congress			Date:
		()	Other (enactive			
		`	,	ania Jahaan N			

COMPLAINT OF POSSIBLE PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE OR OTHER PROHIBITED ACTIVITY Page 3 of 12 $\,$

12.	What official is responsible for the violation(s) that you are reporting, and what is his/her employment status? (See question 10 for appropriate description of employment status. If space is needed to identify more than one official, use Continuation Sheet at page 12.)
	Name: James Cason
	Position/Title: Associate Deputy Secretary
	Employment Status: Currently employed
13.	What are the actions or events that you are reporting to OSC? (To the extent known, specifically list: (a) any suspected prohibited personnel practices or other prohibited activity, other than reprisal for whistleblowing; and (b) any personnel actions involved. (IF YOU ARE ALLEGING REPRISAL FOR WHISTLEBLOWING, SKIP TO PART 2 ON THE NEXT PAGE.)
14	Provide details of the actions or events shown in your response to question 13. (Be as specific as possible about dates, locations, and the identities and positions of all persons mentioned. In particular, identify actual and potential witnesses, giving work locations and telephone numbers when possible. Also, attach any pertinent documents that you may have. Please provide, if possible, a copy of the notification of the agency's proposal and/or decision about the personnel action(s) covered by your request for OSC action. If more space is needed, use Continuation Sheet at page 12.)
16	. What action would you like OSC to take in this matter (that is, what remedy are you asking for?)

PART 2: REPRISAL FOR WHISTLEBLOWING

This part of the form is solely for use by persons alleging reprisal for whistleblowing (that is, persons who believe that personnel actions were taken, not taken, or threatened because of a whistleblower disclosure). Please read the introductory material before answering the questions that follow. If more space is needed, use the continuation sheet at page 12.

Complainants not alleging reprisal for whistleblowing should proceed to Part 3 ("Consent to Certain Disclosures of Information"), at page 9,

Reprisal for Whistleblowing Allegations

As a general rule, it is a prohibited personnel practice to take or fail to take, or threaten to take or fail to take, a personnel action because of a protected disclosure of certain types of information by a Federal employee, former employee, or applicant for Federal employment. 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8).

Legal Elements of a Violation

By law, certain elements must be present before OSC can establish that a legal violation of law has occurred. Two of the required elements that must be established are: (1) that a whistleblower disclosure was made; and (2) that an agency took, falled to take, or threatened to take or fail to take a personnel action because of the whistleblower disclosure. Your description of these elements will help OSC's investigation of your allegation(s).

Protected Disclosures

A disclosure of information is a protected whistleblower disclosure if a Federal employee, former employee, or applicant for Federal employment discloses information which he or she reasonably believes evidences: (a) a violation of any law, rule, or regulation; (b) gross mismanagement; (c) a gross waste of funds; (d) abuse of authority; or (e) a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety.

Covered Personnel Actions

The law prohibiting reprisal for whistleblowing requires proof that one or more of the following personnel actions occurred, or failed to occur, because of a protected disclosure:

- (1) an appointment;
- (2) a promotion;
- (3) an action under 5 U.S.C. chapter 75 or other disciplinary or corrective action;

COMPLAINT OF POSSIBLE PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE OR OTHER PROHIBITED ACTIVITY Page 5 of 12

- (4) a detail, transfer, or reassignment;
- (5) a reinstatement;
- (6) a restoration;
- (7) a reemployment;
- (8) a decision about pay, benefits, or awards, concerning education or training if the education or training may reasonably be expected to lead to an appointment, promotion, performance evaluation, or other action described in 5 U.S.C. § 2302(a)(2);
- (9) a performance evaluation under 5 U.S.C. chapter 43;
- (10) a decision to order psychiatric testing or examination; or
- (11) any other significant change in duties, responsibilities, or working conditions.

Reporting Your Allegation(s)

In the section that starts below (pages 6-8), provide the information requested about all disclosures that you believe led to reprisal by the agency involved. If more space is needed, use extra copies of page 6-8, or the Continuation Sheet at page 12. If any of the disclosures were in writing, please provide a copy of the disclosure with your complaint.

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU LIST ALL DISCLOSURES AND PERSONNEL ACTIONS INVOLVED IN YOUR COMPLAINT. This is because: (1) failure to list any disclosure or personnel action may delay the processing of your complaint by OSC; and (2) a comprehensive listing will avoid disputes in any later Individual Right of Action (IRA) appeal that you may file with the Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB) about its jurisdiction to hear case.

Additional allegations of reprisal for whistleblowing may be added to this complaint while it is pending at OSC. Submission of any such additional allegations to OSC in writing will help you if you decide to file any later IRA appeal with the MSPB. Form OSC-11a is available for that purpose at OSC's web site, under "Forms."

Appeal to the Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB)

If OSC falls to complete its review of your whistleblower reprisal allegation within 120 days after it receives your complaint, or if it closes your complaint at any time without seeking corrective action on your behalf, you have the right to file IRA appeal with the MSPB. 5 U.S.C. § 1214(a)(3).

Recordiceping

To establish its jurisdiction over any later IRA appeal that you may file, the MSPB will require you to show that the appeal relates to the same whistleblower disclosure(s) and personnel action(s) involved in your complaint to OSC. A copy of the whistleblower reprisal allegations in your complaint, any supporting documentation about those allegations that you sent with the complaint, and any additional allegation of reprisal that you submitted in writing to OSC while the complaint was pending, will serve as proof in any IRA of the disclosure(s) and personnel action(s) involved in your OSC complaint. IT IS IMPORTANT, THEREFORE, THAT YOU MAKE AND KEEP COPIES OF ALL THESE DOCUMENT FOR YOUR RECORDS.

MUST BE COMPLETED FOR ALL DISCLOSURES REPORTED IN THIS COMPLAINT

A. WHAT INFORMATION WAS DISCLOSED? (DESCRIBE WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE).

As background, my job involved working to help Alaska Native communities in danger of being washed into the sea. The GAO has designated the Kivalina, Shishmaref, and Shaktoolik communities as imminently threatened. I served as DOI's staff lead on Arctic issues and collaborated with the prior White House to establish the Arctic Executive Steering Committee (AESC). I chair the Community Resilience Working Group of the AESC, which is an interagency federal body collaborating with the State of Alaska and the Denall Commission to relocate, evacuate, and sustain these threatened communities. I also co-chaired implementation of the North Bering Sea Climate Resilience Executive Order, which this Administration rescinded. I disclosed the danger to the public health of these communities on many occasions.

On June 9, I spoke about these issues at the UN. I disclosed the threat to American lives. I explained that the villages are sliding into the sea and the threats are growing.

B. WHAT INFORMATION WAS DISCLOSED? (DESCRIBE NEXT WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE).

On April 21, 2017, I emailed the Special Assistant to the President for International Energy and Environment about the importance of building resilience for these communities. When we discuss "resilience," we are referring to the capacity for a community to survive major disruptions, so for these imminently threatened communities, resilience actions include relocation, evacuation plans, erosion control, and subsistence. I advised that we needed to build resilience. I also explained that coordinating the resilience efforts would save the federal government money. I attached a summary of the Arctic Resilience Action Framework (ARAF) to the email.

Also as background, I led the development and implementation of an international program of

Also as background, I led the development and implementation of an international program of climate resilience activities for the two year period of the US Chairmanship of the Arctic Council (2015-2017). I chaired the ARAF drafting committee.

1, WHEN WAS THE DISCLOSURE MADE? (MO/DAIYR)

June 9, 2017

2. TO WHOM (NAME AND TITLE) WAS THE DISCLOSURE MADE?

United Nations

- 3. DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION EVIDENCED (check all that apply):
 - () VIOLATION OF LAW, RULE, OR REGULATION
 - () GROSS MISMANAGEMENT
 - () GROSS WASTE OF FUNDS
 - () ABUSE OF AUTHORITY (✓) SUBSTANTIAL AND SPECIFIC DANGER TO

PUBLICHEALTH OR SAFETY

() NONE OF THE ABOVE

- WHAT PERSONNEL ACTION(S) OCCURRED, FAILED TO OCCUR, OR WAS THREATENED BECAUSE OF THE DISCLOSURE? (List all applicable personnel action numbers from pages 4-5).
- 4. Reassignment; 11. Significant change iπ ...
- 6. WHEN DID PERSONNEL ACTION(S) OR THREAT(S) OCCUR? (MO/DA/YR)

June 15, 2017; July 10, 2017

1. WHEN WAS THE DISCLOSURE MADE? (MO/DA/YR)

April 21, 2017

2, TO WHOM (NAME AND TITLE) WAS THE DISCLOSURE MADE?

George D. Banks, Spec. Assist. to the President

- DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION EVIDENCED (check all that apply):
 - () VIOLATION OF LAW, RULE, OR REGULATION
 - () GROSS MISMANAGEMENT
 - (\checkmark) GROSS WASTE OF FUNDS
 - () ABUSE OF AUTHORITY
 - (/) SUBSTANTIAL AND SPECIFIC DANGER TO PUBLICHEALTH OR SAFETY
 - () NONE OF THE ABOVE
- 4. WHAT PERSONNEL ACTION(S) OCCURRED, FAILED TO OCCUR, OR WAS THREATENED BECAUSE OF THE DISCLOSURE? (List all applicable personnel action numbers from pages 4-5).
- 4. Reassignment; 11. Significant change in...
- 5. WHEN DID PERSONNEL ACTION(S) OR THREAT(S) OCCUR? (MO/DA/YR)
- June 15, 2017; July 10, 2017

MUST BE COMPLETED FOR ALL DISCLOSURES REPORTED IN THIS COMPLAINT

MOST BE COMPLETED ON ALL DIOCE	
C. WHAT INFORMATION WAS DISCLOSED? (DESCRIBE NEXT WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE),	
I spoke at the Week of the Arctic, which Secretary Tillerson of the State Department attended. The event re-capped the two year US Chairmanship of	1. WHEN WAS THE DISCLOSURE MADE? (MO/DA/YR) May 10, 2017
the Arctic Counsel. I spoke about the threats to the	2. TO WHOM (NAME AND TITLE) WAS THE DISCLOSURE MADE?
villages sliding into the sea, the Arctic Resilience Action Framework, disaster risk reduction, and financial issues including the cost to governments	Public - speaking engagement in Fairbanks, AK
and options for private investment.	3. DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION EVIDENCED (check all that apply): () VIOLATION OF LAW, RULE, OR REGULATION () GROSS MISMANAGEMENT () GROSS WASTE OF FUNDS () ABUSE OF AUTHORITY () SUBSTANTIAL AND SPECIFIC DANGER TO PUBLICHEALTH OR SAFETY () NONE OF THE ABOVE 4. WHAT PERSONNEL ACTION(S) OCCURRED, FAILED TO OCCUR, OR WAS THREATENED BECAUSE OF THE DISCLOSURE? 4. Reassignment; 11. Significant change in 5. WHEN DID PERSONNEL ACTION(S) OR THREAT(S) OCCUR? (MO/DA/YR) June 15, 2017; July 10, 2017
D, WHAT INFORMATION WAS DISCLOSED?	June 10, 2011, out 10, 25 1
(DESCRIBE NEXT WHISTI EBLOWER DISCLOSURE). I spoke about the suffering in Alaska, including the villages being washed into the sea and melting permafrost. I discussed the importance of science,	1. WHEN WAS THE DISCLOSURE MADE? (MO/DA/YR) November 25, 2016
building resillence, governance, and encouraging investment in order to address the threat	2. TO WHOM (NAME AND TITLE) WAS THE DISCLOSURE MADE?
	Public - speaking engagement in Stockholm
	3. DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION EVIDENCED (check all that apply): () VIOLATION OF LAW, RULE, OR REGULATION () GROSS MISMANAGEMENT () GROSS WASTE OF FUNDS () ABUSE OF AUTHORITY (✓) SUBSTANTIAL, AND SPECIFIC DANGER TO PUBLICHEALTH OR SAFETY () NONE OF THE ABOVE 4. WHAT PERSONNEL ACTION(S) OCCURRED, FAILED TO OCCUR, OR
	WAS THREATENED BECAUSE OF THE DISCLOSURE? (List all applicable personnel action numbers from pages 4-5).
	4. Reassignment; 11. Significant change in 5. WHEN DID PERSONNEL ACTION(S) OR THREAT(S) OCCUR?
	June 15, 2017; July 10, 2017

MUST BE COMPLETED FOR ALL DISCLOSURES INCLUDED IN THIS COMPLAINT

3.	3. If you are not the person who actually made a disclosure described in boxes A, B, C, D above, please check below to specify the disclosure involved, and provide the name, address, and telephone number of the person who made the disclosure, if known. (If space is needed to identify more than one person, use Continuation Sheet at page 12.)						
	Disclosure:	A(O)	B(O)	C(O)	D (O)		
	Name:						
	Address:						
	Telephone numb	oer: ()		Ext	<u> </u>		
4. Explain why you believe that the personnel action(s) listed above occurred because of the disciputed described. (Be as specific as possible about any dates, locations, names, and positions of mentioned in your explanation. In particular, identify actual and potential witnesses, giving work and telephone numbers, if known. Attach a copy of any documents that support your statement provide, if possible, a copy of the notification of the agency's proposal and/or decision about the action(s) covered by your complaint. If more space is needed, continue on page 12.)				ns, names, and positions of all persons ntial witnesses, giving work locations that support your statements. <u>Please</u> sal and/or decision about the personnel			
	I believe the Department reassigned me due to my disclosures about the imminent danger to several Alaska Native communities. These villages are eroding into the sea due to melting permafrost, storms, waves, and receding sea ice. I have been vocal in public, to senior Interior Department officials, and to members of this Administration about the importance of Arctic resilience strategies to mitigate this threat to American lives.						
	In April 2017, I Energy and En 2016, respectiv	disclosed the vironment. I s rely. The close	danger to the Sp spoke publicly in	oecial Assistant to Alaska and Stock mity between my	bout this threat at the United Nations. the President for International holm in May 2017 and November disclosures and reassignment lead		
	I also believe my reassignment was retaliatory because I was reassigned to a position that has nothing to do with my skills or areas of expertise. (continued on page 12)						
5,	What action wou	ıld you like OSC	C to take in this m	atter (that is, what	remedy are you asking for)?		
	I am seeking the following: 1) An order from OSC to the Department to return me to the position I encumbered prior to the reassignment; 2) A comprehensive investigation into the motives behind and procedures used to reassign members of the Senior Executive Service on and since June 15, 2017; 3) Compensatory damages; and 4) Attorneys' fees and costs.						

COMPLAINT OF POSSIBLE PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE OR OTHER PROHIBITED ACTIVITY Page 9 of 12

PART 3: CONSENT TO CERTAIN DISCLOSURES OF INFORMATION

OSC asks everyone who files a complaint alleging a possible prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity to select one of three Consent Statements shown below. IF YOU DO NOT SELECT ONE OF THE THREE CONSENT. STATEMENTS BELOW, OSC WILL ASSUME THAT YOU HAVE SELECTED CONSENT STATEMENT 1. Please: (a) select and sign (or check, if filing electronically) one of the Consent Statements below; and (b) keep a copy of the Consent Statement you select (as well as a copy of all documents that you send to OSC) for your own records. If you initially select a Consent Statement that restricts OSC's use of information, you may later select a less restrictive Consent Statement, if your selection of Consent Statement 2 or 3 prevents OSC from being able to conduct an investigation, an OSC representative will contact you, explain the circumstances, and provide you with an opportunity to select a less restrictive Consent Statement.

You should be aware that the Privacy Act allows information in OSC case files to be used or disclosed for certain purposes, regardless of which Consent Statement you sign. See 5 U.S.C. § 552a(b), Information about certain circumstances under which OSC can use or disclose information under the Privacy Act appears on the next page. (Please sign one) Consent Statement 1 I consent to OSC's communication with the agency involved in my complaint. I agree to allow OSC to disclose my Identity as the complainant, and information from or about me, to the agency if OSC decides that such disclosure is needed to investigate the allegation(s) in my complaint (for example, to request information from the agency, or seek a possible resolution through mediation or corrective action). I understand that regardless of the Consent Statement I choose, OSC may disclose information from my complaint file when permitted by the Privacy Act (including circumstances summarized in Part 5, below). Complainant's Signature for Consent Statement 1 Consent Statement 2 I consent to OSC's communication with the agency involved in my complaint, but I do not agree to allow OSC to disclose my identity as the complainant to that agency. I agree to allow OSC to disclose only information from or about me, without disclosing my name or other identifying Information, If OSC decides that such disclosure is needed to investigate the allegation(s) in my complaint (for example, to request information from the agency, or seek a possible resolution through mediation or corrective action). I understand that in some circumstances (for example, if I am complaining about my failure to receive a promotion), OSC could not maintain my anonymity while communicating with the agency involved about a specific personnel action. In such cases, I understand that this request for confidentiality might prevent OSC from taking further action on my complaint. I also understand that regardless of the Consent Statement I choose, OSC may disclose Information from my complaint file when permitted by the Privacy Act (including circumstances summarized in Part 5, below). Complainant's Signature for Consent Statement 2 Date Signed Consent Statement 3 I do not consent to OSC's communication with the agency involved in my complaint. I understand that if OSC decides that it cannot investigate the allegation(s) in my complaint without communicating with that agency, my lack of consent will probably prevent OSC from taking further action on the complaint. I understand that regardless of the Consent Statement I choose, OSC may disclose information from my complaint file when permitted by the Privacy Act (including circumstances summarized in Part 5, below). Date Signed Complainant's Signature for Consent Statement 3

PART 4: CERTIFICATION AND SIGNATURE

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint (including any continuation pages) are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine of up to \$250,000, imprisonment for up to five years, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

Signature 2/12/17Date Signed

PART 5: PRIVACY ACT / PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT STATEMENTS

Routine Uses. Limited disclosure of information from OSC files is needed to fulfill OSC's investigative, prosecutorial, and related responsibilities. OSC has described 18 routine uses for information in its files in the Federal Register (F.R.), at 66 F.R. 36611 (July 12, 2001), and 66 F.R. 51095 (October 5, 2001). A copy of the routine uses is available from OSC upon request. A summary of the routine uses appears below.

OSC may disclose informatiofrom its files in the following circumstances:

- 1. to disclose that an allegation of prohibited personnel practices or other prohibited activity has been filed;
- 2. to disclose information to the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) as needed for inquiries involving civil service laws, rules or regulations, or to obtain an advisory opinion;
- 3. to disclose information about allegations or complaints of discrimination to entities concerned with enforcement of antidiscrimination laws;
- 4. to the MSPB or the President, when seeking disciplinary action;
- 5. to the involved agency, MSPB, OPM, or the President when OSC has reason to believe that a prohibited personnel practice has occurred, exists, or is to be taken;
- 6. to disclose information to Congress in OSC's annual report;
- 7. to disclose Information to third parties as needed to conduct an investigation; obtain an agency investigation and report on information disclosed to OSC's whistleblower disclosure channel; or to give notice of the status or outcome of an investigation;
- 8. to disclose information as needed to obtain information about hiring or retention of an employee; Issuance of a security clearance; conduct of a security or sultability investigation; award of a contract; or issuance of a license, grant, or other benefit;
- 9, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for certain legislative coordination and clearance purposes:

COMPLAINT OF POSSIBLE PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE OR OTHER PROHIBITED ACTIVITY Page 11 of 12

- to provide information from an individual's record to a congressional office acting pursuant to the individual's request;
- 11. to furnish information to the National Archives and Records Administration for records management purposes;
- 12, to produce summary statistics and work force or other studies;
- 13. to provide information to the Department of Justice as needed for certain litigation purposes;
- 14, to provide information to courts or adjudicative bodies as needed for certain litigation purposes;
- 15. to disclose information to the MSPB as needed in special studies authorized by law;
- 16. for coordination with an agency's Office of Inspector General or comparable entity, to facilitate the coordination and conduct of investigations and review of allegations;
- 17. to news media or the public in certain circumstances (except when the Special Counsel determines that disclosure in a particular case would be an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy); and
- 18. to the Department of Labor and others as needed to Implement the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994, and the Veterans' Employment Opportunities Act of 1998.

If OSC officials believe that disclosure may be appropriate in a situation not covered by one of OSC's routine uses, or one of the 11 other exceptions to the Privacy Act's general prohibition on disclosure, OSC will seek written authorization from the complainant permitting the disclosure.

Purposes, Burdens, and Other Information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and persons may not be required to respond to a collection of information, unless it: (a) has been approved by OMB, and (b) displays a currently valid OMB control number. The information in this form is collected pursuant to OSC's legal responsibility to investigate: (a) allegations of prohibited personnel practices, to the extent necessary to determine whether there are reasonable grounds to believe that a prohibited personnel practice has occurred, exists, or is to be taken (5 U.S.C. § 1214); and (b) other allegations of prohibited activity (5 U.S.C. § 1216). The information will be reviewed by OSC to determine whether the facts establish its jurisdiction over the subject of the complaint, and whether further investigation and corrective or disciplinary action is warranted. The reporting burden for this collection of Information is estimated to be an average of one hour and 15 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Office of Special Counsel, Legal Counsel and Policy Division, 1730 M Street, N.W. (Suite 218), Washington, DC 20036-4505. Use of this form to file a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity is required; use of this to file a complaint alleging only a Hatch Act violation is not required. 5 C.F.R. § 1800.1(d), as amended. As stated in Part 3 of this form, complainants may request that OSC maintain their name, and information provided by them, in confidence.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Part No.	ltem/Question No.	Response Continuation
2.	Question 4, page 8.	I was reassigned to the Office of Natural Resources Revenue. ONRR collects, accounts for, and verifies revenue from oil and gas leases. I have no training in auditing and have never worked on such revenues. I met with my new boss and he confirmed that ONRR has no need for an employee with my knowledge and experience. I was reassigned to a position with a job title, but no duties. I believe I was reassigned to this position so I would be unable to work on mitigating the danger to Native Alaskan communities, to punish me for my past such efforts, and to push me to quit.
		In my old job, I supervised twenty-four people. In my new job, I supervise none.
		My prior position was Director, Office of Policy Analysis, Office of the Secretary, Assistant Secretary - Policy, Management and Budget (ES-0340). My new title is Senior Program Advisor (ES-0301). I have attached a recent organizational chart showing that my prior position was on it and my new position is not.
	THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O	I have been at Interior for seven years and received exceptional performance evaluations every year.
		Before reassigning me, leadership did not discuss my skills or experience with me to identify how I could serve the new Administration's goals. The work I perform is not partisan and I had every intention of continuing to work to assist Alaska Native communities under the new Administration.
2.	Additional Disclosures	In addition to Disclosures A-D above, I disclosed the danger to Alaska Native communities directly to other Interior officials. Prior to the public presentations, I briefed Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary Steve Glomb.
		I also sent several weekly reports referencing the danger to Mr. Glomb and to the Acting Assistant Secretary, Policy, Management and Budget (Amy Holley). The weekly reports I sent on March 2, March 30, and April 27, 2017, referenced the Community Resilience Working Group (CRWG). I chair the CRWG, which focuses on relocation, evacuation, erosion control, and subsistence in these communities. I also disclosed these issues during monthly CRWG meetings on February 14, April 11, May 16, and June 13.
10.000.000.000.000.000		On May 17, 2017, I presented at a "plus ones" meeting of the Arctic Executive Steering Committee which took place in the Bisenhower Executive Office Building. Officials from Interior, NOAA, HHS, HUD, Agriculture, the Executive Office of the President, State, and a number of other agencies were present.

KEEP A COPY OF THIS PAGE FOR YOUR RECORDS IF YOU ARE ALLEGING REPRISAL FOR WHISTLEBLOWING